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#### Abstract

In this paper, we consider the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions for some fourth order quasilinear elliptic boundary value problems. The weak solutions are sought by using variational methods.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth open set in $R^{n}$. In this paper, we are concerned with the existence of multiple nontrivial solutions to the fourth order quasilinear elliptic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta\left(g_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right) \Delta u\right)+c \operatorname{div}\left(g_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \nabla u\right)=f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.1}\\ u=0, \Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $c \in R, g_{1}, g_{2} \in C(R, R)$ and $f: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$ is a Carathéodory's function and satisfies the local superlinearity and sublinearity condition.

[^0]Papers [16-18] considered the fourth order semilinear elliptic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.2}\\ u=0, \Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Delta^{2}$ denotes the biharmonic operator, and the fourth order quasilinear elliptic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta\left(g_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right) \Delta u\right)+c \operatorname{div}\left(g_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \nabla u\right)=f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.3}\\ u=0, \Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $h_{1}$ and $h_{2} \in C(R, R)$.
In problem (1.2), let $f(x, u)=b\left[(u+1)^{+}-1\right]$, then we get the following Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=b\left[(u+1)^{+}-1\right] & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.4}\\ u=0, \Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}$.
There are many results about problems (1.1) -(1.4) (cf. [1, 2, 5-7, 16-19]). For problem (1.4), Lazer and McKenna in [2] proved the existence of $2 k-1$ solutions when $\Omega \subset R$ is an interval and $b>\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right)$ by the global bifurcation method. In [5] Tarantello obtained a negative solution when $b \geq \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}-c\right)$ by the degree theory. For problem (1.2) when $f(x, u)=b g(x, u)$, Micheletti and Pistoia in [6, 7] proved that there exist two solutions or three solutions for a more general nonlinearity $g$ by variational method. Papers [16-19] proved the existence of weak solutions of problems (1.2) and (1.3) for a more general nonlinearity $f$ by means of variational method, Morse theory and local linking.

In paper [19], it was studied that the existence of positive solutions for the fourth
order semilinear elliptic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=f(x, u) & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.5}\\ u \geq 0, u \not \equiv 0 & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0, \Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $f$ satisfies the local superlinearity and sublinearity.
In the problem (1.1), let $f(x, u)=\lambda a(x)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}+b(x)\left(u^{+}\right)^{p}$, then we get the following fourth order quasilinear elliptic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta\left(g_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right) \Delta u\right)+c \operatorname{div}\left(g_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \nabla u\right)=a(x)\left(u^{+}\right)^{q}+b(x)\left(u^{+}\right)^{p} & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1.6}\\ u=0, \Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

where $u^{+}=\max \{u, 0\}, \lambda>0$ is a parameter and the exponents $p$ and $q$ satisfy $0 \leq q<1<p$ with $p<2^{*}-1$ if $N \geq 3, p<+\infty$ if $N=1$ or 2 , here $2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$.

In the problem (1.1), let $f(x, u)=\lambda a(x)|u|^{q}+b(x)|u|^{p}$, then we get the following fourth order quasilinear elliptic boundary value problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta\left(g_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right) \Delta u\right)+c \operatorname{div}\left(g_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \nabla u\right)=a(x)|u|^{q}+b(x)|u|^{p} & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{1.7}\\ u=0, \Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda>0$ is a parameter and the exponents $p$ and $q$ satisfy $0 \leq q<1<p$ with $p<2^{*}-1$ if $N \geq 3, p<+\infty$ if $N=1$ or 2 , here $2^{*}=2 N /(N-2)$.

Motivated by the above works, it is the purpose of this paper to use variational methods for the fourth order quasilinear problem (1.1) when nonlinearity $f$ satisfies the local superlinearity and sublinearity condition and the fourth order quasilinear problems (1.6) and (1.7).

The plan of the following sections are as follows. In Section 2 we give some notations and main results. The main results are proved in Section 3.

## 2. Notations and main results

In this paper we use the following definitions.

Definition 2.1. Problem (1.1) is said to be sublinear (superlinear) at 0 if there exist $\alpha>0$ and $t_{0}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, t) \geq(\leq) \alpha|t| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $0 \leq|t| \leq t_{0}$.
Problem (1.1) is said to be superlinear (sublinear) at $\infty$ if there exist $\beta>0$ and $t_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, t) \geq(\leq) \beta|t| \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $|t| \geq t_{1}$. Let $V$ be a real Banach space and let $E \in C^{1}(V, R)$ be a functional.

Definition 2.2. We say that $E$ satisfies the (PS) condition if for every sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $V$ with $E\left(u_{n}\right)$ bounded and $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)=0$, there exists a convergent subsequence.

Let $0<\lambda_{1}<\lambda_{2}<\lambda_{3}<\cdots<\lambda_{k}<\cdots$ be the sequence of distinct eigenvalues of the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta u+\lambda u=0 & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.3}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

The eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta^{2} u+c \Delta u=\mu u & \text { in } \Omega  \tag{2.4}\\ u=0, \Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

has infinitely many eigenvalues $\mu_{k}=\lambda_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}-c\right), \quad k=1,2, \cdots$.
We will always assume $N \geq 3, c<\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$, denote by $\sigma^{\prime}$ the Holder conjugate of $\sigma$ , by $\lambda_{1}(\Omega)$ the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ and by $\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$ the first eigenvalue of

- $\Delta$ on $H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$. Let $V$ denote the Hilbert space $H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ is equipped with the inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle u, v\rangle_{V}=\int_{\Omega}[\Delta u \Delta v-c \nabla u \nabla v] d x \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote by $\|u\|_{p}$ the norm in $L^{p}(\Omega)$, by $\|u\|_{0,1}$ the norm in $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$, and by $\|u\|$ the norm in $V$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|^{2}=\langle u, u\rangle_{V} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $V^{\prime}$ denote the dual of $V$ and let $\langle$,$\rangle be the duality pairing between V^{\prime}$ and $V$.
Now we give the following assumptions:
Let $g_{1}, g_{2}: R \rightarrow R$ be two functions and satisfy the following conditions:
$\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right) g_{1}$ is a continuous and nondecreasing function;
(ii $\left.{ }_{g}\right) c g_{2}$ is a continuous and nonincreasing function, where $c \in R$;
(iiig) There exist $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2} \in R$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0<\alpha_{1} \leq g_{1}(t) & \leq \beta_{1} \\
c \alpha_{2} \leq c g_{2}(t) & \leq c \beta_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $t \in R$
Let $f: \Omega \times R \rightarrow R$ be a Carathéodory's function and satisfy the following conditions:
(i $\mathrm{i}_{f}$ ) There exist $1 \leq \sigma<2^{*}, d_{1} \in L^{\sigma^{\prime}}(\Omega), d_{2}>0$ such that

$$
|f(x, t)| \leq d_{1}(x)+d_{2}|t|^{\sigma-1}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in R$;
(ii $f_{f}$ ) There exist $\theta>2,1 \leq r<2, d \in L^{\left(\frac{2^{*}}{r}\right)^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, with $d \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega, t_{0} \geq 0$, such that

$$
\theta F(x, t) \leq t f(x, t)+d(x)|t|^{r}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $|t|>t_{0}$, where $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$;
(iii $f_{f}$ There exist $0 \leq q<1<p<2^{*}-1, a_{0} \in L^{\sigma_{q}}(\Omega)$, with $\sigma_{q}=\left(\frac{2^{*}}{q+1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $a_{0} \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega, b_{0} \in L^{\sigma_{p}}(\Omega)$, with $\sigma_{p}=\left(\frac{2^{*}}{p+1}\right)^{\prime}$ and $b_{0} \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ such that

$$
f(x, t) \leq a_{0}|t|^{q}+b_{0}|t|^{p}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega$ and all $t \in R$;
$\left(\mathrm{iv}_{f}\right)$ There exist a nonempty subdomain $\Omega_{1} \subset \Omega, \theta_{1}>\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \beta_{1}-c \alpha_{2}\right) / 2$, $\mathrm{t}_{1}>0$, such that

$$
F(x, t) \geq \theta_{1} t^{2}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega_{1}$ and all $0 \leq t \leq t_{1}$;
$\left(\mathrm{v}_{f}\right)$ There exist a nonempty open subset $\Omega_{2} \subset \Omega, \theta_{2}>0, t_{2} \geq 0$, such that

$$
F(x, t) \geq \theta_{2} t^{2}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega_{2}$ and all $t \geq t_{2}$, with the additional requirement that the function $d(x)$ appearing in ( $\mathrm{iii}_{f}$ ) is bounded on $\Omega_{2}$.

Remark 2.1. The hypothesis ( $\operatorname{iv}_{f}$ ) implies that $f$ satisfies the local sublinearity condition at 0 , the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{v}_{f}\right)$ implies that $f$ satisfies the local superlinearity condition at $\infty$.

Let us define the mapping $B_{g}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle B_{g} u, v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega}\left[g_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right) \Delta u \Delta v-c g_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \nabla u \nabla v\right] d x \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

foy any $u, v \in V$.

Definition 2.3. We say that $u \in V$ is the weak solution of problem (1.1) if the identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle B_{g} u, v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any $v \in V$. Let $E$ denote the associated energy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E u=\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right)-c G_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)-F(x, u)\right] d x \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(s) d s, G_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(s) d s, F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$.
Under the above assumptions, we shall give the existence of weak solutions, by means of Mountain Pass theorem and local minimization, for the quasilinear problem (1.1), (1.6) and (1.7). The main results of this paper are the following theorems.

Theorem 2.1. Assume that $\left(i_{g}\right)-\left(i i i_{g}\right)$ and ( $i_{f}-\left(v_{f}\right)$ hold. Suppose in addition that
$\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1}>0$, if $0 \leq c<\lambda_{1}(\Omega), \alpha_{1} \geq \beta_{2}$ and $\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1} \geq \theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}$, or if $c \leq 0$, $\alpha_{1} \leq \beta_{2}$ and $\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1} \leq \theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}$. Then there exists $\eta=\eta(p, q, n)>0$ such that for $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ :

$$
\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{p-1}\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}^{1-q}<\eta
$$

problem (1.1) has at least two solutions $v$ and $w$ which satisfy $E(v)>0$ and $E(w)<0$, where $E$ denotes the associated energy in (2.9). In addition if $f$ varies in such a way that the coefficients in (iiif) satisfy

$$
a_{0} \rightarrow 0 \text { in } L^{\sigma_{q}}(\Omega) \text { and } b_{0} \text { bounded in } L^{\sigma_{p}}(\Omega)
$$

then the solution $w=w_{f}$ can be constructed such that $w_{f} \rightarrow 0$ in $V$.

Theorem 2.2. Assume that $\left(i_{g}\right)$-(iiig $)$ and $\left(i_{f}\right)-\left(v_{f}\right)$ hold. Suppose in addition that $\theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}>0, \alpha_{1} \geq \beta_{2}$ and $\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1} \geq \theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}$. Then there exists $\eta=\eta(p, q, n)>0$ such that for $a_{0}$ and $b_{0}$ :

$$
\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{p-1}\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}^{1-q}<\eta
$$

problem (1.1) has at least two solutions $v$ and $w$ which satisfy $E(v)>0$ and $E(w)<0$, where $E$ denotes the associated energy in (2.9). In addition if $f$ varies in such a way that the coefficients in (iii ${ }_{f}$ ) satisfy

$$
a_{0} \rightarrow 0 \text { in } L^{\sigma_{q}}(\Omega) \text { and } b_{0} \text { bounded in } L^{\sigma_{p}}(\Omega)
$$

then the solution $w=w_{f}$ can be constructed such that $w_{f} \rightarrow 0$ in $V$.

We apply these theorems to the quasilinear problem (1.6), we have

Theorem 2.3. Assume that $\left(i_{g}\right)$-(iii ${ }_{g}$ ) hold. Suppose that $\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1}>0$, if $0 \leq$ $c<\lambda_{1}(\Omega), \alpha_{1} \geq \beta_{2}$ and $\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1} \geq \theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}$, or if $c \leq 0, \alpha_{1} \leq \beta_{2}$ and $\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1} \leq \theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}$ and that in (1.6) $\lambda>0,0 \leq q<1<p<2^{*}-1, a \in L^{\tau_{q}}(\Omega)$ with $\tau_{q}>\sigma_{q}, b \in L^{\tau_{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\tau_{p}>\sigma_{p}$, with in addition $a(x) \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ in case $q=0$. Suppose in addition that
( $v i_{f}$ ) there exists a nonempty open subset $\Omega_{1} \subset \Omega$ such that, on $\Omega_{1}, a(x) \geq \epsilon_{1}$ for some $\epsilon_{1}>0$ and $b(x)$ is bounded from below;
(viif) there exists a nonempty open subset $\Omega_{2} \subset \Omega$ such that, on $\Omega_{2}, b(x) \geq \epsilon_{2}$ for some $\epsilon_{2}>0$ and $a(x)$ is bounded from above and from below.
Then there exists $\widetilde{\eta}=\widetilde{\eta}(p, q, n)>0$ for

$$
\lambda<\frac{\widetilde{\eta}}{\left\|a^{+}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}\left\|b^{+}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}^{(1-q)(p-1)}},
$$

problem (1.6) has at least two solutions $v$ and $w$ which satisfy $J(v)>0$ and $J(w)<0$, where $J$ denotes the energy functional associated to (1.6). Moreover, if $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the solution $w=w_{f}$ can be constructed such that $w_{f} \rightarrow 0$ in $V$.

Theorem 2.4. Assume that ( $\mathrm{i}_{g}$ )-(iiig $)$ hold. Suppose that $\theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}>0, \alpha_{1} \geq \beta_{2}$ and $\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1} \geq \theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}$ and that in (1.6) $\lambda>0,0 \leq q<1<p<2^{*}-1, a \in L^{\tau_{q}}(\Omega)$ with $\tau_{q}>\sigma_{q}, b \in L^{\tau_{p}}(\Omega)$ with $\tau_{p}>\sigma_{p}$, with in addition $a(x) \geq 0$ a.e. in $\Omega$ in case $q=0$. Suppose in addition that ( $\mathrm{vi}_{f}$ ) and (viif $)$ hold. Then there exists $\widetilde{\eta}=\widetilde{\eta}(p, q, n)>0$ for

$$
\lambda<\frac{\widetilde{\eta}}{\left\|a^{+}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}\left\|b^{+}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}^{(1-q)(p-1)}},
$$

problem (1.6) has at least two solutions $v$ and $w$ which satisfy $J(v)>0$ and $J(w)<0$, where $J$ denotes the energy functional associated to (1.6). Moreover, if $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the solution $w=w_{f}$ can be constructed such that $w_{f} \rightarrow 0$ in $V$.

We apply Theorem 2.1 and 2.2 to the quasilinear problem (1.7), we have

Theorem 2.5. Assume that hypotheses in Theorem 2.3 hold. Then there exists $\widetilde{\eta}=\widetilde{\eta}(p, q, n)>0$ for

$$
\lambda<\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\left\|a^{+}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}\left\|b^{+}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}^{(1-q)(p-1)}},
$$

problem (1.7) has at least two solutions $v$ and $w$ which satisfy $J(v)>0$ and $J(w)<0$, where $J$ denotes the energy functional associated to (1.7). Moreover, if $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the solution $w=w_{f}$ can be constructed such that $w_{f} \rightarrow 0$ in $V$.

Theorem 2.6. Assume that hypotheses in Theorem 2.4 hold. Then there exists $\widetilde{\eta}=\widetilde{\eta}(p, q, n)>0$ for

$$
\lambda<\frac{\tilde{\eta}}{\left\|a^{+}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}\left\|b^{+}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}^{(1-q)(p-1)}},
$$

problem (1.7) has at least two solutions $v$ and $w$ which satisfy $J(v)>0$ and $J(w)<0$, where $J$ denotes the energy functional associated to (1.7). Moreover, if $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the solution $w=w_{f}$ can be constructed such that $w_{f} \rightarrow 0$ in $V$.

Remark 2.2. Theorem 2.1-2.6 are includes an interesting interplay between the behavior of the functions $g_{1}, g_{2}, f$ and the potential function $E$ and $J$. On the other hand, Theorem 2.1-2.6 deal with some fourth order quasilinear elliptic problems. Figueiredo, Gossez and Ubilla in [12] studied second semilinear elliptic problems, as well as of another paper by Xu and Zhang [19] considered some fourth order semilinear elliptic problems of the local superlinearity and sublinearity conditions.

## 3. Some lemmas and proofs of main results

Let $\Omega$ be a bounded smooth open subset of $R^{n}$ and let $V=H^{2}(\Omega) \cap H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$. In this section we give the proofs of Theorem 2.1-2.6. For this we need the following lemmas.

Let $G_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g_{1}(s) d s, G_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{t} g_{2}(s) d s$ and let the energy $E: V \rightarrow R$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E u=\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right)-c G_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)-F(x, u)\right] d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u \in V$.

Lemma 3.1. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{i}_{f}\right)$ holds, let $F^{\prime}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle F^{\prime} u, v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u, v \in V$. Then $F^{\prime}$ is completely continuous.

Proof. Let $I: V \rightarrow L^{\sigma}(\Omega)$ be given by $I u=u$, since for $\sigma<2^{*}$ by Rellich's theorem the space $H_{0}^{1}(\Omega)$ embeds into $L^{\sigma}(\Omega)$ compactly, then $I$ is a compact mapping. From [19]. Let $K: L^{\sigma}(\Omega) \rightarrow L^{\sigma^{\prime}}(\Omega)\left(1 / \sigma+1 / \sigma^{\prime}=1\right)$ be given by $K(u)=f(x, u)$ and let $I^{\prime}: L^{\sigma^{\prime}} \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ be given by $I^{\prime} v=v$, then $K$ and $I^{\prime}$ are continuous. Hence $F^{\prime}=I^{\prime} K I$ is a completely continuous mapping from $V$ to $V^{\prime}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let that $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)$-( $\left.\mathrm{iii}_{g}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{i}_{f}\right)$ hold. Then $E \in C^{1}(V, R)$.

Proof. Let $G u=\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right)-c G_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right] d x$, by $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)$ and (iig), it is easy to check that $G$ is a continuous. From Lemma 3.1 we know that $F^{\prime}$ is completely continuous.

Thus by combining assumptions $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)$-(iiig ${ }_{g}$ ) and $\left(\mathrm{i}_{f}\right)$, it follows that $E \in C^{1}(V, R)$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle E^{\prime} u, v\right\rangle & =\int_{\Omega}\left[g_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right) \Delta u \Delta v-c g_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \nabla u \nabla v-f(x, u) v\right] d x \\
& =\left\langle B_{g} u, v\right\rangle-\left\langle F^{\prime} u, v\right\rangle \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 3.1. By Lemma 3.1 and $3.2, E^{\prime} u=0$ implies that

$$
\left\langle B_{g} u, v\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f(x, u) v d x
$$

Therefore critical points of $E$ are weak solutions of problem (1.1).

Lemma 3.3. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)$-(iii ${ }_{g}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{i}_{f}$ )-( $\mathrm{ii}_{f}$ ) hold. Let $\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1}>0$, if $0 \leq c<\lambda_{1}, \theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1} \geq \theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}$, or if $c \leq 0, \theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1} \leq \theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}$. Then $E$ satisfies the (PS) condition on $V$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.1 and $3.2, E$ is a $C^{1}$ functional on $V$. To see that $E$ satisfies (PS) condition, at first we show that any (PS)-sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ for $E$ is bounded in $V$. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a $(\mathrm{PS})$ sequence, i.e. $E\left(u_{n}\right)$ bounded and $E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. So, for $\theta$ as in (iii ${ }_{f}$ ) and for some $\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and some constant $C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta E\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \leq C+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $0 \leq c<\lambda_{1}$, by combining (iii ${ }_{g}$ ), (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta E\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle= & \theta \int_{\Omega}\left[G_{1}\left(\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}\right)-c G_{2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left[g_{1}\left(\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c g_{2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\geq & \frac{\theta}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\alpha_{1}\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c \beta_{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left[\beta_{1}\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c \alpha_{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
= & \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-\frac{\theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}}{\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1}} c\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x . \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

When $c<0$, by combining (iii $),(3.1),(3.2),(3.3)$ and (3.5), similarly, from (3.5) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta E\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \geq & \frac{\theta}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\alpha_{1}\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c \beta_{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left[\beta_{1}\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c \alpha_{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
= & \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-\frac{\theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}}{\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1}} c\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by combining (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), it implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) \leq C+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\| . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.7) and assumption (ii ${ }_{f}$ ), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq C^{\prime}+\int_{\Omega} d(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{r} d x+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{\prime}$ is another constant. Since $r<2$, we deduces that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ remains bounded.
Next, we show that $E$ satisfies the (PS) condition. From assumptions ( $\mathrm{i}_{g}$ ), (ii ${ }_{g}$ ) and (iii ${ }_{g}$ ), it follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle B_{g}(u-v),(u-v)\right\rangle= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(g_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right) \Delta u-g_{1}\left((\Delta v)^{2}\right) \Delta v\right) \Delta(u-v)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(-c g_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right) \nabla u+c g_{2}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}\right) \nabla v\right) \nabla(u-v)\right] d x \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(g_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right)+g_{1}\left((\Delta v)^{2}\right)\right)(\Delta(u-v))^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(g_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right)-g_{1}\left((\Delta v)^{2}\right)\right)\left((\Delta u)^{2}-(\Delta v)^{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(-c g_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)-c g_{2}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}\right)\right)|\nabla(u-v)|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(-c g_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)+c g_{2}\left(|\nabla v|^{2}\right)\right)\left(|\nabla u|^{2}-|\nabla v|^{2}\right)\right] d x \\
\geq & \alpha_{1}\|u-v\|^{2} \tag{3.9}
\end{align*}
$$

for any $u, v \in V$. Thus, by combining assumptions $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)$-(iii ${ }_{g}$ ), (3.9), Lemma 3.1 and 3.2, this implies that $B_{g}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is an homeomorphism.

Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $V$ be a (PS)-sequence for $E$, from (3.8) we know that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $V$, assume after passing to a subsequence that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ weakly in $V$, strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=B_{g}^{-1}\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right), \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 3.1, we know that $F^{\prime}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is is a completely continuous mapping. Thus there exists a convergent subsequence, hence $E$ satisfies the (PS) condition. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.3.

Lemma 3.4. Assume that $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)$-(iii $\left.{ }_{g}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{i}_{f}\right)$-(ii $\left.{ }_{f}\right)$ hold. $\operatorname{Let} \theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}>0, \theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1} \geq$ $\theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}$, Then $E$ satisfies the (PS) condition on $V$.

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 3.3, we know that $E$ is a $C^{1}$ functional on $V$. At first we show that any (PS)-sequence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ for $E$ is bounded in $V$. Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ be a (PS) sequence, i.e. $E\left(u_{n}\right)$ bounded and $E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$. Thus, for $\theta$ as in (iii ${ }_{f}$ ) and for some $\epsilon_{n} \rightarrow 0$ and some constant $C$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta E\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle \leq C+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\| . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta E\left(u_{n}\right)-\left\langle E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}\right\rangle= & \theta \int_{\Omega}\left[G_{1}\left(\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}\right)-c G_{2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left[g_{1}\left(\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}\right)\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c g_{2}\left(\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
\geq & \frac{\theta}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\alpha_{1}\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c \beta_{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left[\beta_{1}\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c \alpha_{2}\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
= & \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \alpha_{1}-\beta_{1}\right)\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
= & \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{\theta \alpha_{1}-2 \beta_{1}}{\theta \beta_{2}-2 \alpha_{2}}\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right) \int_{\Omega}\left[\left(\Delta u_{n}\right)^{2}-c\left|\nabla u_{n}\right|^{2}\right] d x \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x \\
\geq & \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) d x . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.11) and (3.12), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2}-\int_{\Omega}\left(\theta F\left(x, u_{n}\right)-u_{n} f\left(x, u_{n}\right)\right) \leq C+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.13) and assumption ( $\mathrm{ii}_{f}$ ), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\theta}{2} \beta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left\|u_{n}\right\|^{2} \leq C^{\prime \prime}+\int_{\Omega} d(x)\left|u_{n}\right|^{r} d x+\varepsilon_{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\| \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C^{\prime \prime}$ is another constant. Since $r<2$, we deduces that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|$ remains bounded.
Next, we show that E satisfies the (PS) condition. By combining (3.9), (3.15), assumptions $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)$-( $\mathrm{iii}_{g}$ ), Lemma 3.1 and 3.2, , we know that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle B_{g}(u-v),(u-v)\right\rangle \geq \alpha_{1}\|u-v\|^{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $u, v \in V$ and that $B_{g}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is an homeomorphism.
Let $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ in $V$ be a (PS)-sequence for $E$, from (3.14) we know that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $V$, assume after passing to a subsequence that $u_{n} \rightarrow u_{0}$ weakly in $V$, strongly in $L^{2}(\Omega)$. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=B_{g}^{-1}\left(E^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-F^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right) \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

by Lemma 3.1, we know that $F^{\prime}: V \rightarrow V^{\prime}$ is is a completely continuous mapping. Thus there exists a convergent subsequence, hence $E$ satisfies the (PS) condition. This completes the proof of Lemma 3.4.

Lemma 3.5. ${ }^{[12,19]}$ Let $0 \leq q<1<p, A>0, B>0$, and consider the function

$$
\Psi_{A, B}(t)=t^{2}-A t^{q+1}-B t^{p+1}
$$

for $t \geq 0$. Then $\max \left\{\Psi_{A, B}(t): t \geq 0\right\}$ is $>0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{p-1} B^{q-1}<\frac{(p-1)^{p-1}(1-q)^{1-q}}{(p-q)^{p-q}}=\eta_{1}(p, q) . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for $t=t_{B}:=\left[\frac{1-q}{B(p-q)}\right]^{\frac{1}{p-1}}$, one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{A, B}\left(t_{B}\right)=t_{B}^{2}\left[\frac{p-1}{p-q}-A B^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}}\left(\frac{p-q}{1-q}\right)^{\frac{1-q}{p-1}}\right] . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2.1. By means of Lemma 3.3, we know that the associated energy $E$ in (2.9) satisfies the (PS) condition. At first, we will show the existence of the first solution by the Mountain Pass theorem. Thus, recalling (iii ${ }_{f}$ ), using Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality, when $0 \leq c<\lambda_{1}$, by combining (iii ${ }_{g}$ ) and (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E(u) & =\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right)-c G_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right] d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[\alpha_{1}(\Delta u)^{2}-c \beta_{2}|\nabla u|^{2}\right] d x-\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{a_{0}|u|^{q+1}}{q+1}+\frac{b_{0}|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right] d x \\
& =\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[(\Delta u)^{2}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1}} c|\nabla u|^{2}\right] d x-\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{a_{0}|u|^{q+1}}{q+1}+\frac{b_{0}|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right] d x \\
& \geq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[(\Delta u)^{2}-c|\nabla u|^{2}\right] d x-m_{1}\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}\|u\|_{0,1}^{q+1}-m_{2}\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}\|u\|_{0,1}^{p+1} \\
& \geq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c_{1}\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}\|u\|^{q+1}-c_{2}\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}\|u\|^{p+1} \tag{3.19}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u \in V$, where $m_{1}=(q+1)^{-1} S^{-\frac{q+1}{2}}, m_{2}=(p+1)^{-1} S^{-\frac{p+1}{2}}, c_{1}=m_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}(\Omega)-\right.$ c) $)^{\frac{q+1}{2}}, c_{2}=m_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}(\Omega)-c\right)^{-\frac{p+1}{2}}$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
S:=\inf \left\{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla u|^{2} d x: u \in H_{0}^{1}(\Omega) \text { and } \int_{\Omega}|u|^{2^{*}} d x=1\right\} . \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $c<0$, by combining (iiig $)$, (3.1) and (3.19), similarly, from (3.19) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E(u) & =\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right)-c G_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right] d x-\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x \\
& \geq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \int_{\Omega}\left[(\Delta u)^{2}-\frac{\beta_{2}}{\alpha_{1}} c|\nabla u|^{2}\right] d x-\int_{\Omega}\left[\frac{a_{0}|u|^{q+1}}{q+1}+\frac{b_{0}|u|^{p+1}}{p+1}\right] d x \\
& \geq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c_{1}\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}\|u\|^{q+1}-c_{2}\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}\|u\|^{p+1} \tag{3.21}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u \in V$, where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ as in (3.19). Let $A=\left(2 c_{1} / \alpha_{1}\right)\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}$ and $B=$ $\left(2 c_{2} / \alpha_{1}\right)\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}$, it follows from $\left(\mathrm{iv}_{f}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{v}_{f}\right)$ that $A>0$ and $B>0$. By Lemma 3.5, (3.19) and (3.21), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(u) \geq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \Psi_{A, B}\left(t_{B}\right)>0 \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u \in V$ with $\|u\|=t_{B}$ and $A^{p-1} B^{q-1}<\eta_{1}(p, q)$, this implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{p-1}\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}^{1-q}<\frac{\alpha_{1}^{p-q} \eta_{1}(p, q)}{2^{p-q}\left(c_{1}\right)^{p-1}\left(c_{2}\right)^{1-q}}=\eta(p, q, N) \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Noting that $E(0)=0$, thus by (3.22) and (3.23) we have obtained a range of mountains around 0 .

Now we will show that for some $u_{2} \in V$ such that $E\left(t u_{2}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$. By assumption $\left(\mathrm{v}_{f}\right)$, there exist $t_{3}>t_{0}$ and $\theta_{3}>0$, such that $F(x, t) \geq \theta_{3} t^{2}+1$ for a.e. $x \in \Omega_{2}$ and all $t \geq t_{3}$. For $x \in \Omega_{2}$ and $t \geq t_{3}$, we then divide the inequality of (ii $f_{f}$ ) by $t F(x, t)$, integrate from $t_{3}$ to $t$ and take the exponential to get

$$
F(x, t) \geq F\left(x, t_{3}\right)\left(\frac{t}{t_{3}}\right)^{\theta} \exp \left(-d(x) \int_{t_{3}}^{t} \frac{s^{r-1}}{F(x, s)} d s\right)
$$

From $\left(\mathrm{v}_{f}\right)$, it implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \geq C t^{\theta} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega_{2}$ and all $t \geq t_{3}$, where $C>0$ is a constant. Choosing a function $u_{2} \in V \cap H^{\theta}(\Omega)$ with support in $\Omega_{2}$ and $u_{2} \geq 0, u_{2} \not \equiv 0$, and taking $t_{2}$ such that measure of $\left\{x \in \Omega_{2}: t u_{2}(x) \geq t_{3}\right\}$ is $>0$ for $t \geq t_{2}$. By (iiig $)$ and (3.1) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(t u_{2}\right) & =\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{1}\left(\left(\Delta t u_{2}\right)^{2}\right)-c G_{2}\left(\left|\nabla t u_{2}\right|^{2}\right)\right] d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, t u_{2}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left[\beta_{1}\left(\Delta u_{2}\right)^{2}-c \alpha_{2}\left|\nabla u_{2}\right|^{2}\right] d x-\int_{\Omega_{2}} F\left(x, t u_{2}\right) d x \tag{3.25}
\end{align*}
$$

by splitting the integral over $\Omega_{2}$ into an integral over $\left\{x \in \Omega_{2}: t u_{2}(x)<t_{3}\right\}$ and an integral over $\left\{x \in \Omega_{2}: t u_{2}(x) \geq t_{3}\right\}$, and by using (3.24) and (3.25), this follows that, for some constants $C_{1}, C_{2}$ and $C_{3}$, with $C_{3}>0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(t u_{2}\right) \leq & \frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega_{2}}\left[\beta_{1}\left(\Delta u_{2}\right)^{2}-c \alpha_{2}\left|\nabla u_{2}\right|^{2}\right] d x+C^{2} \\
& -C t^{\theta} \int_{\left\{x \in \Omega_{2}: t u_{2}(x) \geq t_{3}\right\}}\left(u_{2}\right)^{\theta} d x \\
\leq & C_{1} t^{2}+C_{2}-C_{3} t^{\theta} . \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\theta>2$, this implies that $E\left(t u_{2}\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.
By combining (3.22), (3.23) and (3.26), the Mountain Pass theorem yields a critical point $v$ of $E$ with

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(v) \geq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \Psi_{A, B}\left(t_{B}\right)>0 . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

This $v$ is the first nontrivial solution of problem (1.1).
Next, we will show the existence of the second solution by local minimization. Let $e_{1}$ be the positive eigenfunction associated to the principle eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on
$H_{0}^{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$, it is known that $e_{1} \in L^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)$. Set $t_{1}=t_{1} /\left\|e_{1}\right\|_{\infty}$, using the positivity of $e_{1}$ and the hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{iv}_{f}\right)$, it is easy to see that for all $0<t \leq t_{1}, 0 \leq t e_{1} \leq t_{1}$. By ( $\mathrm{iii}_{g}$ ) and (3.1), this implies that

$$
\begin{align*}
E\left(t e_{1}\right) & =\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{1}\left(\left(\Delta t e_{1}\right)^{2}\right)-c G_{2}\left(\left|\nabla t e_{1}\right|^{2}\right)\right] d x-\int_{\Omega} F\left(x, t e_{1}\right) d x \\
& \leq \frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}}\left[\beta_{1}\left(\Delta e_{1}\right)^{2}-c \alpha_{2}\left|\nabla e_{1}\right|^{2}\right] d x-\theta_{1} t^{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}} e_{1}^{2} d x \\
& \leq \lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \beta_{1}-c \alpha_{2}\right) \frac{t^{2}}{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}} e_{1}^{2} d x-\theta_{1} t^{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}} e_{1}^{2} d x \\
& =\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \beta_{1}-c \alpha_{2}\right) / 2-\theta_{1}\right) t^{2} \int_{\Omega_{1}} e_{1}^{2} d x \\
& <0 \tag{3.28}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\theta_{1}>\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \beta_{1}-c \alpha_{2}\right) / 2$. Thus, there exists $t>0$ sufficiently small such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E\left(t e_{1}\right)<0 . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $G u=\int_{\Omega}\left[G_{1}\left((\Delta u)^{2}\right)-c G_{2}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}\right)\right] d x$, and $W u=\int_{\Omega} F(x, u) d x$. By $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)-\left(\mathrm{iii}_{g}\right)$, it is easy to check that $G$ is a convex and lower semicontinuous, hence $G$ is weakly lower semicontinuous. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1 from assumption ( $\mathrm{i}_{f}$ ), we know that $W$ is weakly continuous. Thus $E=G-W$ is weakly lower semicontinuous. It follows from (3.29) that the minimum of the functional $E$ on the closed ball in $V$ with center 0 and radius $t_{B}$ is achieved in the corresponding open ball and thus yields a nontrivial solution $w$ of problem (1.1) with

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(w)<0 \text { and }\|w\|<t_{B} . \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we obtain the second solution. This completes the proof of the existence of at least two solutions in Theorem 2.1.

We now turn to the study of the asymptotic behavior of one of these two solutions. When $f$ varies in such a way that $a_{0} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{\sigma_{q}}(\Omega)$ and $b_{0}$ remains bounded in $L^{\sigma_{p}}(\Omega)$, let $\gamma \in(0,1 / 1-q)$ and $t_{B}=\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{\gamma}$. By (3.19), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
E(u) & \geq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2}\|u\|^{2}-c_{1}\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}\|u\|^{q+1}-c_{2}\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}\|u\|^{p+1} \\
& \geq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2}\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{2 \gamma}-c_{1}\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{1+\gamma(q+1)}-c_{2}\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{\gamma(p+1)} \\
& =\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{2 \gamma}\left(\frac{\alpha_{1}}{2}-c_{1}\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{1-\gamma(1-q)}-c_{2}\left\|b_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{p}}\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{\gamma(p-1)}\right) \tag{3.31}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $u$ with $\|u\|=t_{B}$. Since $\gamma \in(0,1 / 1-q)$, so $1-\gamma(1-q)>0$, thus it follows from (3.31) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(u) \geq \frac{\alpha_{1}}{2} \Psi_{A, B}\left(t_{B}\right)>0 \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $u$ with $\|u\|=\left\|a_{0}\right\|_{\sigma_{q}}^{\gamma}=t_{B}$ sufficiently small. By combining (3.30), and (3.31) and (3.32), this implies that the corresponding solution $w$ will converges to 0 in $V$ as $a_{0} \rightarrow 0$. This complete the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. By combining assumptions $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)-\left(\mathrm{iii}_{g}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{i}_{f}\right)-\left(\mathrm{v}_{f}\right)$, Lemma 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4, we can give the proof of Theorem 2.2 similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

## Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let

$$
f(x, t)= \begin{cases}a(x) t^{q}+b(x) t^{p} & t \geq 0 \\ 0 & t<0\end{cases}
$$

By combining assumptions $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)$-( $\left.\mathrm{iii}_{g}\right)$, $\left(\mathrm{vi}_{f}\right)$-(vii $\left.{ }_{f}\right)$, Lemma 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3 and the proof of Theorem 2.1, we can show that $J \in C^{1}(V, R)$, it is enough to verify that for each $\lambda>0$, hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{i}_{f}\right)-\left(\mathrm{v}_{f}\right)$ hold. Noting the strict inequalities $\tau_{q}>\sigma_{q}$ and $\tau_{p}>\sigma_{p}$, by using Young's inequality, we know that ( $\mathrm{i}_{f}$ ) holds. Set $\theta=p+1, r=q+1$, $d(x)=\lambda(\theta /(q+1)-1) a^{+}(x)$ and $t_{0}=0$, it follows that (ii $f$ ) holds. Hypothesis (iii ${ }_{f}$ ) is clear. By assumption $\left(\mathrm{vi}_{f}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(x, t)}{t} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow 0+} a(x) t^{q-1}+b(x) t^{p-1} \\
& =+\infty \tag{3.33}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly for a.e. $x \in \Omega_{1}$. So, there exist $\theta_{1}>\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{1}\left(\Omega_{1}\right) \beta_{1}-c \alpha_{2}\right) / 2$ and $t_{1}>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \geq \theta_{1} t^{2} \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $x \in \Omega_{1}$ and all $0 \leq t \leq t_{1}$, where $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$. It implies from (3.34) that hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{iv}_{f}\right)$ holds on $\Omega_{1}$. By assumption ( $\mathrm{vii}_{f}$ ), set $d(x)=\lambda(\theta /(q+1)-$ 1) $a^{+}(x)$, which is bounded on $\Omega_{2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{f(x, t)}{t} & =\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} a(x) t^{q-1}+b(x) t^{p-1} \\
& =+\infty \tag{3.35}
\end{align*}
$$

uniformly for a.e. $x \in \Omega_{2}$. So, there exist $\theta_{2}>0$ and $t_{2} \geq 0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, t) \geq \theta_{2} t^{2} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a.e. $\quad x \in \Omega_{2}$ and all $t \geq t_{2}$, where $F(x, t)=\int_{0}^{t} f(x, s) d s$, with the additional requirement that the function $d(x)$ appearing in (iii $f$ ) is bounded on $\Omega_{2}$. It implies from (3.36) that hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{v}_{f}\right)$ holds on $\Omega_{2}$. This complete the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 2.4. By combining assumptions $\left(\mathrm{i}_{g}\right)$-(iiig $)$, ( $\mathrm{vi}_{f}$ ), (vii ${ }_{f}$ ), Lemma 3.1, 3.2 and 3.4, the proof of Theorem 2.4 can be completed similar to the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Remark 3.2. The proofs of Theorem 2.5 and 2.6 are similar to the proofs of Theorem 2.3 and 2.4. So we omit them.
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