On the Inviscid Limit of the 3D Navier-Stokes Equations with Generalized Navier-slip Boundary Conditions*

Yuelong Xiao^{†,‡} and Zhouping Xin[‡]

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate the vanishing viscosity limit problem for the 3-dimensional (3D) incompressible Navier-Stokes equations in a general bounded smooth domain of R^3 with the generalized Navier-slip boundary conditions (1.11). Some uniform estimates on rates of convergence in $C([0,T],L^2(\Omega))$ and $C([0,T],H^1(\Omega))$ of the solutions to the corresponding solutions of the idea Euler equations with the standard slip boundary condition are obtained.

1 Introduction

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded smooth domain. We consider the Navier-Stokes equations

$$\partial_t u^{\varepsilon} - \varepsilon \Delta u^{\varepsilon} + u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon} + \nabla p^{\varepsilon} = 0, \text{ in } \Omega$$
 (1.1)

$$\nabla \cdot u^{\varepsilon} = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \tag{1.2}$$

and the Euler equations

$$\partial_t u + u \cdot \nabla u + \nabla p = 0$$
, in Ω (1.3)

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \tag{1.4}$$

We are interested in the vanishing viscosity limit problem: do the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1),(1.2) converge to that of the Euler equations (1.3) with the same initial data as ε vanishes?.

The vanishing viscosity limit problem for the Navier-Stokes equations is a classical issue and has been well studied when the domain has no boundaries, various convergence results have been obtained, see for instances[13, 14, 16, 19, 20, 27, 34].

However, in the presence of a physical boundary, the situations is much more complicated, and the problem becomes challenging due to the boundary layers. This is so

^{*}This research is supported in part by NSFC 10971174, and Zheng Ge Ru Foundation, and Hong Kong RGC Earmarked Research Grants CUHK-4041/11P, CUHK-4042/08P, a Focus Area Grant from The Chinese University of Hong Kong, and a grant from Croucher Foundation.

[†]Institute for Computational and Applied mathematics, Xiangtan University.

[‡]The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, The Chinese University of Hong Kong.

even in the case that the corresponding solution to the initial boundary value problem of the Euler equations remains smooth.

Indeed, in presence of a boundary $\partial\Omega$, one of the most important physical boundary conditions for the Euler equations (1.3) is the following slip boundary condition, i.e.,

$$u \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \tag{1.5}$$

and the initial boundary value problem of the Euler equations (1.3) with the slip boundary condition (1.5) has a smooth solution at least locally in time. Corresponding to the slip boundary condition (1.5) for the Euler equations, there are different choices of boundary conditions for the Navier-Stokes equations, one is the mostly-used no-slip boundary condition, i.e.,

$$u^{\varepsilon} = 0$$
, on Ω (1.6)

This Dirichlet type boundary condition was proposed by Stokes ([33]) assuming that fluid particles are adherent to the boundary due to the positive viscosity. Although the well-posedness of the smooth solution to the initial boundary value problem of the Navier-Stokes equations with the no-slip boundary condition can be established quiet easily (at least local in time), the asymptotic convergence of the solution to the corresponding solution of the Euler equations (1.3) with the boundary condition (1.5) as the viscosity coefficient ε tends to zero is one of major open problems except special cases (see [15, 29, 31, 32]) due to the possible appearance of the boundary layers. In general, only some sufficient conditions are obtained for the convergence in $L^2(\Omega)$, see [21, 23, 37]. However, there are some new results in 2D case announced recently in [45].

Another class of boundary conditions for the Navier-Stokes equations is the Navier-slip boundary conditions, i.e,

$$u^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = 0, \ [2(S(u^{\varepsilon})n) + \gamma u^{\varepsilon}]_{\tau} = 0, \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$
 (1.7)

where $2S(u^{\varepsilon}) = (\nabla u^{\varepsilon} + (\nabla u^{\varepsilon})^T)$ is the viscous stress tensor, and γ is a smooth given function. Such conditions were proposed by Navier in [30], which allow the fluid to slip at the boundary, and have important applications for problems with rough boundaries, prorated boundaries, and interfacial boundary problems, see [6, 9, 35, 36]. The well-posedness of the Naver-Stokes equations (1.1), (1.2) with the Navier-slip boundary conditions and related results have been established, see [9, 42] and the references therein.

In a recent paper [25], the Navier-slip boundary condition (1.7) is written to the following generalized one

$$u^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = 0$$
, $[2(S(u^{\varepsilon})n) + Au^{\varepsilon}]_{\tau} = 0$, on $\partial \Omega$ (1.8)

with A is a smooth symmetric tensor. The well-posedness of the Naver-Stokes equations (1.1), (1.2) with the generalized Navier-slip boundary condition is indeed similar to the classical one.

On the other hand, the following vorticity-slip boundary condition

$$u^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = 0, \ n \times (\omega^{\varepsilon}) = \beta u^{\varepsilon} \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$
 (1.9)

with β is a smooth function is introduced in [42], where $\omega = \nabla \times u$ is the vorticity of the fluid.

It is noticed that

$$(2(S(v)n) - (\nabla \times v) \times n)_{\tau} = GD(v)_{\tau} \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$
(1.10)

where GD(v) = -2S(n)v (see[42]). Hence the generalized slip condition (1.8) is equivalent to the following generalized vorticity-slip condition

$$u^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = 0, \ n \times (\omega^{\varepsilon}) = [Bu^{\varepsilon}]_{\tau} \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$
 (1.11)

with B a given smooth symmetric tensor on the boundary. The equivalence can also be seen for instance in [1, 2, 3, 7, 38, 40].

Compared to the case of no-slip boundary condition, the asymptotic behavior of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations with the Navier-slip boundary conditions as $\varepsilon \to 0$ is relatively easy to establish. Some strong convergence results in 2D (see [12, 26]) and various weak convergence results in 3D (see [17, 24, 25]) are also established, where it has been shown that

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^t ||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_1^2 dt \le c\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{2}}$$
(1.12)

and

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_{L^{\infty}([0,T] \times \Omega)} \le c\varepsilon^{\frac{3}{8}(1-s)} \tag{1.13}$$

for some s > 0, see [25] for details.

For the homogeneous case, i.e, B=0 in (1.11), better convergence results are available. The $H^3(\Omega)$ convergence and $H^2(\Omega)$ estimate on the rate of convergence are obtained in [40] for flat domains. These results are improved to $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ in [2, 3]. However, such a strong convergence can not be expected for general domains, except the case that the initial vorticity vanishes on the boundary [41]. Note that the convergence in $H^2(\Omega)$ or, $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$ (for $k \geq 2$), implies that limiting solution of the Euler equation satisfies the boundary condition (1.11) with B=0.

However, it is shown in [4, 5] that the solution to the Euler equations with the slip boundary condition (1.5) can not satisfy the extra condition $n \times \omega = 0$ on the boundary in general, and satisfy $n \times \omega = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ only if $\omega \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. This implies that the only possible case for convergence of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations in $H^2(\Omega)$ is the one obtained in [41], where the following estimate on the convergence rate

$$\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^2 + \varepsilon \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_1^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^t (\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_1^2 + \varepsilon \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_2^2) dt \le c\varepsilon^2$$

$$(1.14)$$

is obtained. Furthermore, a $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ convergence result can also be obtained in this case, see [10].

For general initial data and domains in the homogeneous case, i.e, B=0 in (1.11), the best estimate on the rate of convergence established so far is in $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$ in [39], which implies in the particular case p=2 that

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||^2 + \varepsilon ||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_1^2 \le c\varepsilon^{2-s}$$
(1.15)

with $s = \frac{1}{2}$, where u^{ε} and u are solutions to (1.1), (1.2), (1.9) and (1.3), (1.5) respectively.

However, all the strong convergence results depend essentially the homogeneous property, i.e, $n \times \omega = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$. Indeed, for general B(not identically equal to zero on $\partial \Omega$), there is no any estimate on the rate of convergence in $H^k(\Omega)$ (or $W^{k,p}(\Omega)$) for $k \geq 1$, as far as the authors are aware. It should be noted that the co-normal uniform estimates have been established in [28] for general domains and general Navier-slip boundary conditions, which grantee the convergence of solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations to that of the Euler equations in $W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$. Yet, some additional efforts are still needed to obtain an estimate on the rate of convergence as in (1.14).

In a recent paper [43], we proposed the following slip boundary condition

$$u^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = 0, \ \omega^{\varepsilon} \cdot n = 0, n \times (\Delta u^{\varepsilon}) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$
 (1.16)

for the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1), (1.2) and obtained the following estimate on the rate of convergence

$$\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_{1}^{2} + \varepsilon \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_{2}^{2} + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} (\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_{2}^{2} + \varepsilon \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_{3}^{2}) dt \le c\varepsilon^{2-s}$$

$$(1.17)$$

for any s > 0.

In this paper, we investigate the vanishing viscosity problem for the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1), (1.2) on a general bounded smooth domain $\Omega \subset R^3$ with the generalized vorticity-slip boundary condition (1.11). Our main result is the following estimate on the rate of convergence for the solutions:

Theorem 1.1. Let u(t) be the smooth solution of the boundary value problem of Euler equation (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) on [0,T] with $u(0) = u_0 \in H^3(\Omega)$ which satisfies the corresponding assumptions on the initial data in Theorem 1 of [28] (see also Lemma 2.1 in next section), and $u^{\varepsilon}(t)$ be the solution of the boundary value problem of the Navier-Stokes equation (1.1), (1.2), (1.11) with the same initial data u_0 . Then, there is $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^{T_1} ||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_1^2 dt \le c\varepsilon^{2-s}, \text{ on } [0, T_0]$$
 (1.18)

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_{1}^{2} + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{T_{1}} ||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_{2}^{2} dt \le c\varepsilon^{1-s}, \text{ on } [0, T_{0}]$$
 (1.19)

for any s > 0 and ε small enough. Consequently,

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_{1,p}^{p} \le c_{p} \varepsilon^{1-s}, \text{ on } [0, T_{0}]$$
 (1.20)

for $2 \le p < \infty$, any s > 0 and ε small enough, and

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_{L^{\infty}([0,T] \times \Omega)} \le c\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{5}(1-s')}$$

$$\tag{1.21}$$

for any s' > 0.

The estimate (1.19) yields the desired estimates on the rate of convergence of the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations to that of the Euler equations in $C([0,T],H^1(\Omega))$ norm for general domains with general Navier-slip boundary conditions. It should be noted that the estimates (1.18) and (1.21) improve (1.12) and (1.13), and (1.19), (1.20) are even better than (1.15) stated in [39] for the special case B=0. Indeed, The estimate (1.19) is optimal in the sense that s can not be taken to be 0 due to boundary layers in general, see Remark 3.1. below for details.

These estimates are motivated by our recent work [43], where the first order derivative estimates for the solutions are obtained for the Navier-Stokes equations with a new vorticity boundary condition (1.16), and the work of Masmoudi and Rousset in [28], where uniform estimates on $\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{W^{1,\infty}}$ of the solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation with the general Navier-slip boundary condition are obtained.

Our approach is an elementary energy estimate for the difference of the solutions between the Navier-Stokes equations and the Euler equations. Some suitable integrating by part formulas in terms of the vorticity are successfully used to get the optimal rate of convergence. In comparison to the asymptotic analysis method associate to the boundary layers (see [18, 24, 25, 39]), we should not need any correctors near the boundary. Meanwhile, the uniform regularity obtained in [28] plays an essential role in our analysis.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: In the next section, we give a preliminary on the well-posedness of the initial boundary value problem of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1),(1.2) and (1.11), and the local uniform regularity of the solutions. Then, the estimates on the asymptotic convergence (1.18), (1.19), (1.20) and (1.21) are established in section 3.

2 Preliminaries

Let $\Omega \subset R^3$ be a general bounded smooth domain. We begin by considering the following Stokes problem:

$$\alpha u - \Delta u + \nabla q = f \text{ in } \Omega \tag{2.1}$$

$$\nabla \cdot u = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \tag{2.2}$$

$$u \cdot n = 0, n \times (\nabla \times u) = [Bu]_{\tau} \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$
 (2.3)

where B is smooth symmetric tensor. Set

$$H = \{ u \in L^2(\Omega); \nabla \cdot u = 0, \text{ in } \Omega; \ u \cdot n = 0; \text{ on } \partial \Omega \}$$
$$V = H^1(\Omega) \cap H$$
$$W = \{ u \in H^2(\Omega); \ n \times (\nabla \times u) = [Bu]_{\tau} \text{ on, } \partial \Omega \}$$

It is well known that for any $v \in V$, one has

$$||v||_1 < c||\nabla \times v|| \tag{2.4}$$

Note that

$$[Bu]_{\tau} \cdot \phi = Bu \cdot \phi$$

for any ϕ satisfying $\phi \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary. We associate the Stokes problem (2.1)-(2.3) with the following bilinear form

$$a_{\alpha}(u,\phi) = \alpha(u,\phi) + \int_{\partial\Omega} Bu \cdot \phi + \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \times u) \cdot (\nabla \times \phi)$$
 (2.5)

Note that

$$\left| \int_{\partial \Omega} Bu \cdot u \right| \le c \|u\| \|u\|_1 \tag{2.6}$$

for all $u \in V$ and (2.4). It follows that $a_{\alpha}(u, \phi)$ is a positive definite symmetric bilinear form if α large enough, and is closed with the domain $\mathcal{D}(a_{\alpha}) = V$. Similar to the discussions in [42], one can define the self-adjoint operator associated with a_{α} as

$$A = \alpha I - P\Delta$$

with the domain $\mathcal{D}(A) = V \cap W$, which implies

$$||v||_2 \le c||v|| + ||P\Delta v||, \ \forall v \in \mathcal{D}(A)$$
 (2.7)

Now, we turn to the boundary value problem of the Navier-Stokes equations (1.1), (1.2) on $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ with the generalized vorticity-slip boundary condition (1.11).

By using the Galerkin method based on the orthogonal eigenvectors of A, noting the energy equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u^{\varepsilon}\|^{2} + \varepsilon\|\nabla \times u^{\varepsilon}\|^{2} + \varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} Bu^{\varepsilon} \cdot u^{\varepsilon} = 0$$
 (2.8)

valid for approximate solutions, and (2.4), (2.6), one can obtain the global existence of weak solutions to the boundary value problem of Navier-Stokes equations (1.1), (1.2), (1.11) (see the corresponding definition in, for instance, [42]).

Noting the energy equation

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}(\|\nabla \times u^{\varepsilon}\|^{2} + \int_{\partial\Omega} Bu^{\varepsilon} \cdot u^{\varepsilon}) + \varepsilon \|P\Delta u^{\varepsilon}\|^{2} + (u^{\varepsilon} \cdot \nabla u^{\varepsilon}, P\Delta u^{\varepsilon}) = 0$$
 (2.9)

for approximate solutions, (2.4), and (2.6)-(2.8), one can show that if $u_0^{\varepsilon} \in V$, then there is a maximum time interval $[0, T^{\varepsilon})$ such that the weak solutions are the unique strong one on $[0, T^{\varepsilon})$ (see the corresponding definition in, for instance, [42]).

It follows from Lemma 3.10 in [42] that

$$(2(S(u)n) - \omega \times n)_{\tau} = GD(u)_{\tau} \tag{2.10}$$

with GD(u) = -2S(n)u.

Then, the boundary conditions (1.7), (1.8) and (1.9) can be written to (1.11), and (1.8) is equivalent to (1.11). Hence, one has the following uniform regularity result for the solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations:

Lemma 2.1. (Masmoudi and Rousset [28]) Let m > 6 be an integer and Ω be a C^{m+2} domain. Consider $u_0 \in E^m \cap H$ such that $\nabla u_0 \in W_{co}^{1,\infty}$. Then there is $T_m > 0$ such that for all sufficient small ε , there is a unique solution $u^{\varepsilon} \in C([0, T_m], E^m)$ to the Navier-Stokes problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.11) with $u^{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0$. Moreover, there is a constant C such that

$$||u^{\varepsilon}||_{H^{m}_{co}(\Omega)} + ||\nabla u^{\varepsilon}||_{H^{m-1}_{co}(\Omega)} + ||\nabla u^{\varepsilon}||_{W^{1,\infty}_{co}(\Omega)} + \varepsilon \int_{0}^{t} ||\nabla^{2} u^{\varepsilon}||_{H^{m-1}_{co}(\Omega)}^{2} dt \le C \quad (2.11)$$
on $[0, T_{m}]$.

Where $H_{co}^m(\Omega)$ and $W_{co}^{1,\infty}(\Omega)$ are co-norm vector-spaces, $\|\cdot\|_{H_{co}^m(\Omega)}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{W_{co}^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}$ denote the corresponding norms, and

$$E^{m} = \{ u \in H_{co}^{m}(\Omega) | \nabla u \in H_{co}^{m-1}(\Omega) \}$$

Since the notations are rather complicate to be expressed, we omit it here and refer to Masmoudi and Rousset [28] for the details. This uniform regularity implies in particular the following uniform bound

$$||u^{\varepsilon}||_{W^{1,\infty}} \leq C$$
, on $[0, T_m]$,

which plays an essential role in our estimates.

3 Convergence of the solutions

We now turn to the purpose of this paper to establish the convergence with a rate for the solutions u^{ε} to u. We start with the basic L^2 -estimate.

Theorem 3.1. Let $u_0 \in H^3(\Omega)$ satisfy the assumptions stated in Theorem 1.1. and u(t) be the solution to the Euler equations (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) on [0,T] with $u(0) = u_0$, and $u(t) = u^{\varepsilon}(t)$ be the solution to the Navier-Stokes problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.11) with $u^{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0$. Then

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^{T_0} ||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_1^2 dt \le c\varepsilon^{2-s} \text{ on } [0, T_0]$$
 (3.1)

for any s > 0 and ε small enough, where $T_0 = \min\{T, T_m\}$. Consequently,

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_{L^{\infty}([0,T] \times \Omega)} \le c\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{5}(1-s')}$$
(3.2)

for any s' > 0.

Proof: Note that $u^{\varepsilon} - u$ satisfies

$$\partial_t(u^{\varepsilon} - u) - \varepsilon \Delta(u^{\varepsilon} - u) + \Phi + \nabla(p^{\varepsilon} - p) = \varepsilon \Delta u, \text{ in } \Omega$$
(3.3)

$$\nabla \cdot u^{\varepsilon} = 0, \text{ in } \Omega \tag{3.4}$$

$$(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot n = 0, \ n \times (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega) = [B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) + Bu]_{\tau} - n \times \omega \text{ on } \partial\Omega$$
 (3.5)

where $\omega = \nabla \times u$, $\omega^{\varepsilon} = \nabla \times u^{\varepsilon}$,

$$\Phi = u \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u) + (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u + (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u)$$

Then, the following identity holds

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^{2} + \varepsilon\|\nabla \times (u^{\varepsilon} - u)\|^{2} + \mathcal{B}_{0} + (\Phi, u^{\varepsilon} - u) = \varepsilon(\Delta u, u^{\varepsilon} - u) \tag{3.6}$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_0 = \varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} n \times (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)(u^{\varepsilon} - u) = \varepsilon \int_{\partial\Omega} (B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) + Bu - n \times \omega)(u^{\varepsilon} - u)$$

Note that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} n \times (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)(u^{\varepsilon} - u) = \int_{\partial\Omega} (B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) + Bu - n \times \omega)(u^{\varepsilon} - u)$$

$$\leq c \int_{\partial\Omega} (|(u^{\varepsilon} - u)|^{2} + |(u^{\varepsilon} - u)|)$$

$$\leq c(||u^{\varepsilon} - u|| ||\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega|| + |u^{\varepsilon} - u|_{L(\partial\Omega)})$$

It follows from the trace theorem that

$$|u^{\varepsilon} - u|_{L(\partial\Omega)} \le |u^{\varepsilon} - u|_{L^{q}(\partial\Omega)} \le c||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_{H^{s}(\Omega)}$$
(3.7)

for any q > 1 and then any s > 0.

By interpolation, we have

$$\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_{H^{s}(\Omega)} \le c\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^{(1-s)}\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_{1}^{s} \le c\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^{(1-s)}\|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{s}$$

$$(3.8)$$

Note that

$$\varepsilon \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^{(1-s)} \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{s} \le \delta \varepsilon \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{2} + c_{\delta}(\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^{2} + \varepsilon^{2-s})$$
(3.9)

for any $s \in (0,1)$ and

$$\varepsilon \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\| \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\| \le \varepsilon^2 \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2 + \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^2$$

Then, it holds that

$$\mathcal{B}_0 \le 2\delta\varepsilon \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2 + c_{\delta} \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^2 + \varepsilon^{2-s}$$

for any $s \in (0,1)$ and ε small enough.

Note also that

$$(\Phi, u^{\varepsilon} - u) = ((u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u, u^{\varepsilon} - u) \le c \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^{2},$$

and

$$\varepsilon(\Delta u, u^{\varepsilon} - u) \le ||u^{\varepsilon} - u||^2 + c\varepsilon^2$$

It follows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^2 + \varepsilon \|\nabla \times (u^{\varepsilon} - u)\|^2 \le c(\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^2 + \varepsilon^{2-s})$$
(3.10)

for any $s \in (0,1)$, and then for any s > 0 and ε small enough. Note that $u^{\varepsilon}(0) - u(0) = 0$. Then, (3.1) follows from (3.10) and the Gronwall lemma.

Consequently, by using the Gagliardo-Nirenberg interpolation inequality, one has

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)} \le c||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_{\frac{5}{5}}^{\frac{2}{5}}||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_{W^{1,\infty}(\Omega)}^{\frac{3}{5}} \le c\varepsilon^{\frac{2}{5}(1-s')}$$
(3.11)

for any s' > 0. The theorem is proved.

Next, we prove the major estimate in this paper.

Theorem 3.2. Let $u_0 \in H^3(\Omega)$ satisfy the assumptions stated in Theorem 1.1., and u(t) be the solution to the Euler equations (1.3), (1.4), (1.5) on [0,T] with $u(0) = u_0$, and $u(t) = u^{\varepsilon}(t)$ be the solution to the Navier-Stokes problem (1.1), (1.2), (1.11) with $u^{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0$. Then

$$||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_1^2 + \varepsilon \int_0^T ||u^{\varepsilon} - u||_2^2 dt \le c\varepsilon^{1-s} \text{ on } [0, T_0]$$
(3.12)

for any s > 0 and ε small enough, where $T_0 = \min\{T, T_m\}$.

Proof: Let s be the same as in Theorem 3.1.. Note the smoothness of the solutions and

$$\partial_t (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot n = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega$$

It follows from (3.3), (3.4) and (3.5) that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|(\omega^{\varepsilon}-\omega)\|^{2}+\varepsilon\|P\Delta(u^{\varepsilon}-u)\|^{2}-(\Phi,P\Delta(u^{\varepsilon}-u))$$

$$= \int_{\partial \Omega} \partial_t (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (n \times (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)) - \varepsilon (\Delta u, P\Delta(u^{\varepsilon} - u))$$

where P is the Lerray projection,

$$\Phi = u \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u) + (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u + (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u)$$

and that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_t (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (n \times (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)) = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_t (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) + Bu - n \times \omega)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} (\int_{\partial\Omega} B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (u^{\varepsilon} - u) + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (Bu - n \times \omega)) - \mathcal{B}_1$$

where

$$\mathcal{B}_1 = \int_{\partial\Omega} (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \partial_t (Bu - n \times \omega)$$

It follows from Theorem 3.1. and (3.9)

$$|\mathcal{B}_1| \le c \int_{\partial \Omega} |(u^{\varepsilon} - u)| \le \delta \|(\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)\|^2 + c\varepsilon^{1-s}$$

Note also that

$$\varepsilon |(\Delta u, P\Delta(u^{\varepsilon} - u))| \le \frac{\varepsilon}{2} ||P\Delta(u^{\varepsilon} - u)||^2 + c\varepsilon$$

and that

$$\begin{split} -(\Phi, P\Delta(u^{\varepsilon} - u)) &= (P\Phi, -\Delta(u^{\varepsilon} - u)) \\ &= (\nabla \times \Phi, (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)) + \int_{\partial \Omega} n \times (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega) \cdot P\Phi \\ &= (\nabla \times \Phi, (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)) + \int_{\partial \Omega} (B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) + Bu - n \times \omega) \cdot P\Phi \end{split}$$

Then, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|P\Delta(u^{\varepsilon} - u)\|^{2} \le N + BN + BNL + c(\|(\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)\|^{2} + \varepsilon^{1-s})$$
(3.13)

for ε small enough, where

$$E = \|(\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)\|^{2} - (\int_{\partial\Omega} B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (u^{\varepsilon} - u) + 2 \int_{\partial\Omega} (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (Bu - n \times \omega))$$

$$N = |(\nabla \times \Phi, (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega))|$$

$$BN = |\int_{\partial\Omega} B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot P\Phi|$$

$$BNL = |\int_{\partial\Omega} (Bu - n \times \omega) \cdot P\Phi|$$

The term N can be estimated easily by using the Sobolev inequalities and the known uniform bounds for $\|u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty}$ and $\|\nabla u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty}$. The term BN can be estimated after integrating by part properly. While, the estimate for the leading order term BNL is rather complicated due to the possible appearance of boundary layers. We now carry out these estimates.

Estimates on N:

The term N is estimated as follows:

Note that

$$\nabla \times \Phi = \nabla \times (\omega \times (u^{\varepsilon} - u) + (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega) \times u + (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega) \times (u^{\varepsilon} - u)$$
$$= [\omega, u^{\varepsilon} - u] + [\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega, u] + [\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega, \omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega]$$

where

$$[\varphi,\psi] = \psi \cdot \nabla \varphi - \varphi \cdot \nabla \psi$$

And

$$|((u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla \omega, \omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)| \le c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{2}$$
$$|(\omega \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u), \omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)| \le c \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_{1} \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\| \le c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{2}$$

It follows that

$$|([\omega, u^{\varepsilon} - u], \omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)| \le c ||\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega||^2$$

On the other hand,

$$(u \cdot \nabla(\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega), \omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega) = 0$$
$$((\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)\nabla u, \omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega) \le c\|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{2}$$

It follows that

$$|([\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega, u], \omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)| \le c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2$$

Note that

$$((u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla(\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega), \omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega) = 0$$
$$((\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega) \cdot \nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u), \omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)$$
$$\leq \|\nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u)\|_{\infty} \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{2} \leq c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{2}$$

(here and below we will use the uniform regularity that $\|\nabla u^{\varepsilon}\|_{\infty} \leq c$). It follows that

$$|([\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega, u^{\varepsilon} - u], \omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)| \le c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2$$

Hence

$$N \le c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2 \tag{3.14}$$

Estimates on BN:

Next, we estimate the term

$$BN = |\int_{\partial\Omega} B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot P\Phi|$$

Note that

$$P\Phi = \Phi + \nabla p_{\Phi}$$

involves a scalar function p_{Φ} , which is difficult to estimate on the boundary. We will transform it to an estimate on Ω by an integrating by part formula.

To this end, we first extend n, B to the interior of Ω as follows:

$$n(x) = \varphi(r(x))\nabla(r(x))$$

$$B(x) = \varphi(r(x))B(\Pi x)$$

where

$$r(x) = \min_{y \in \partial\Omega} d(x, y)$$

$$\Pi x = y_x \in \partial \Omega$$

such that

$$r(x) = d(x, y_x)$$

which is uniquely defined on $\Omega_{\sigma} = \{x \in \Omega, \ r(x) \leq 2\sigma\}$ for some $\sigma > 0$, and $\varphi(s)$ is smooth and compactly supported in $[0, 2\sigma)$ such that

$$\varphi(0) = 1$$
, on $[0, \sigma]$

Then, we can deduce the estimate of the boundary term BN to an interior estimate on Ω by the Stokes formula

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot P\Phi = \int_{\partial\Omega} (n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (n \times P\Phi))$$
$$= (n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u), \nabla \times \Phi) - (\nabla \times (n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u)), P\Phi)$$

since $P\Phi \cdot n = 0$ on the boundary, and $\nabla \times P\Phi = \nabla \times \Phi$.

Note that

$$|(n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u), (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla \omega)| \le c ||u^{\varepsilon} - u||^{2} \le c ||\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega||^{2}$$
$$|(n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u), \omega \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u))| \le c ||u^{\varepsilon} - u||^{2} \le c ||\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega||^{2}$$

It follows that

$$|(n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u), [\omega, u^{\varepsilon} - u])| \le c ||\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega||^2$$

Note that $u \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$, $\nabla \cdot u = 0$. Then

$$\begin{split} &|(n\times B(u^{\varepsilon}-u),u\cdot\nabla(\omega^{\varepsilon}-\omega))|\\ &=|(\omega^{\varepsilon}-\omega,u\cdot\nabla(n\times B(u^{\varepsilon}-u)))|\leq c\|u^{\varepsilon}-u\|_{1}^{2}\leq c\|\omega^{\varepsilon}-\omega\|^{2} \end{split}$$

and

$$|(n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u), (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega) \cdot \nabla u)| \le c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2$$

It follows that

$$|(n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u), [\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega, u])| \le c ||\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega||^2$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} |(n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u), (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla(\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega))| &= |(\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega, (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla(n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u)))| \\ &\leq c \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_{1}^{2} \leq c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|(n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u), (\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega) \cdot \nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u))| \le c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2$$

It follows that

$$|(n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u), [\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega, u^{\varepsilon} - u])| \le c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2$$

Hence

$$|(n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u), \nabla \times \Phi)| \le c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2$$
 (3.15)

Note also that

$$|(\nabla \times (n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u)), P\Phi)| \le ||\nabla \times (n \times B(u^{\varepsilon} - u))|| ||\Phi||$$

$$\le c||(u^{\varepsilon} - u))||_1^2 \le c||\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega||^2$$

Hence, we have

$$BN \le c \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2 \tag{3.16}$$

Estimate on $\int_{\partial\Omega} (Bu - n \times \omega) \cdot P\Phi$:

Finally, we estimate the leading order term on the boundary

$$BNL = |\int_{\partial\Omega} (Bu - n \times \omega) \cdot P\Phi|$$

It should be noted that the estimate is trivial if

$$[Bu]_{\tau} - n \times \omega = 0$$

which is that the Euler solution satisfies the same boundary condition (1.11) just as the Navier-Stokes solution does so there is no strong boundary layer. This applies to the cases treated in [40, 41]. Here, $[Bu]_{\tau} - n \times \omega$ may be not equal zero, then boundary layers may occur. Additional efforts are needed to overcome the new difficulties.

Similar to the above, we have

$$|\int_{\partial\Omega} (Bu - n \times \omega) \cdot P\Phi| = |\int_{\partial\Omega} n \times (Bu - n \times \omega) \cdot n \times P\Phi|$$
$$= |(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), \nabla \times \Phi) - (\nabla \times (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega)), P\Phi)|$$

The term $|(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), \nabla \times \Phi)|$ is relatively easy to be estimated since $\nabla \times \Phi$ does not involve the pressure function.

Rewrite Φ as

$$\Phi = \Phi_1 + \Phi_2 + \Phi_3$$

where

$$\Phi_1 = (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u$$

$$\Phi_2 = u \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u)$$

$$\Phi_3 = (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u)$$

Note that

$$|(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), \nabla \times \Phi_1)| = |(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), \nabla \times ((u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u))|$$

$$= |\int_{\partial \Omega} n \times ((u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u) \cdot (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega)) + (\nabla \times (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega)), (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u)|$$

$$\leq c(\int_{\partial\Omega} |u^{\varepsilon} - u| + ||u^{\varepsilon} - u||) \leq c(||\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega||^2 + \varepsilon^{1-s})$$

and

$$\begin{split} |(n\times(Bu-n\times\omega),\nabla\times\Phi_3)| &= |(n\times(Bu-n\times\omega),\nabla\times((u^\varepsilon-u)\cdot\nabla(u^\varepsilon-u)))| \\ &= |\int_{\partial\Omega}n\times((u^\varepsilon-u)\cdot\nabla(u^\varepsilon-u))\cdot(n\times(Bu-n\times\omega)) + (\nabla\times(n\times(Bu-n\times\omega)),(u^\varepsilon-u)\cdot\nabla(u^\varepsilon-u))| \\ &\leq c(\int_{\partial\Omega}|u^\varepsilon-u| + \|u^\varepsilon-u\|_1^2) \leq c(\|\omega^\varepsilon-\omega\|^2 + \varepsilon^{1-s}) \end{split}$$

since $u^{\varepsilon} - u$ is smooth, so that

$$|\nabla(u^{\varepsilon}-u)| \leq c \|\nabla(u^{\varepsilon}-u)\|_{\infty} \leq c$$
, on $\partial\Omega$

To estimate $(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), \nabla \times \Phi_2)$, we note

$$\nabla \times (\psi \cdot \nabla \phi) = \psi \cdot \nabla(\nabla \times \phi) + \nabla \psi^{\perp} \cdot \nabla \phi$$

where $\nabla \psi^{\perp}$ is expressed in component by

$$(\nabla \psi^{\perp} \cdot \nabla \phi)_{j} = (-1)^{j+1} \partial_{j+1} \psi \cdot \nabla \phi_{j+1} + (-1)^{j+2} \partial_{j+2} \psi \cdot \nabla \phi_{j+2}$$
(3.17)

with the index modulated by 3. Hence, we have

$$|(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), \nabla \times \Phi_2)| = |(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), \nabla \times (u \cdot \nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u)))|$$

= $|(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), u \cdot \nabla(\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)) + (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), \nabla u^{\perp} \cdot \nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u))|$

Note that

$$\begin{split} |(n\times(Bu-n\times\omega),u\cdot\nabla(\omega^{\varepsilon}-\omega))| &= |(\omega^{\varepsilon}-\omega,u\cdot\nabla(n\times(Bu-n\times\omega)))| \\ &= (\nabla\times(u^{\varepsilon}-u),u\cdot\nabla(n\times(Bu-n\times\omega))) \\ &= |\int_{\partial\Omega}n\times(u^{\varepsilon}-u)\cdot(u\cdot\nabla(n\times(Bu-n\times\omega))) + (u^{\varepsilon}-u,\nabla\times(u\cdot\nabla(n\times(Bu-n\times\omega))))| \\ &\leq c(\int_{\partial\Omega}|u^{\varepsilon}-u| + \|u^{\varepsilon}-u\|) \leq c(\|\omega^{\varepsilon}-\omega\|^2 + \varepsilon^{1-s}) \end{split}$$

Note also that

$$(\partial_j u \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u)_j, (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega))_k) =$$

$$(\partial_j u, \nabla ((u^{\varepsilon} - u)_j (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega))_k) - (\partial_j u \cdot \nabla (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega))_k, (u^{\varepsilon} - u)_j),$$
and that

 $|(\partial_{j}u, \nabla((u^{\varepsilon} - u)_{j}(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega))_{k})| = |\int_{\partial\Omega} (u^{\varepsilon} - u)_{j}(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega))_{k} \partial_{j}u \cdot n|$ $\leq c||u||_{1,\infty}^{2} \int_{\partial\Omega} |u^{\varepsilon} - u| \leq c \int_{\partial\Omega} |u^{\varepsilon} - u| \leq c(||\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega||^{2} + \varepsilon^{1-s})$

since $\nabla \cdot \partial_i u = 0$, and that

$$|(\partial_j u \cdot \nabla (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega))_k, (u^{\varepsilon} - u)_j)| \le c||u^{\varepsilon} - u|| \le c\varepsilon^{1-s}$$

it follows that

$$|(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), \nabla \times \Phi_2)| \le c(\|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2 + \varepsilon^{1-s})$$

Then, we get

$$|(n \times (Bu - n \times \omega), \nabla \times \Phi)| \le c(\|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2 + \varepsilon^{1-s})$$
(3.18)

It remains to estimate $|(\nabla \times (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega)), P\Phi)|$. There is also a difficulty arising from $P\Phi$. To do it, it is noticed that

$$P\Phi = u \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u) - (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u + P\tilde{\Phi}$$

where

$$\tilde{\Phi} = 2(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u + (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u)$$

with

$$u \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u) - (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u = \nabla \times ((u^{\varepsilon} - u) \times u) \in H$$

since that $(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot n = 0$ and $u \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$ will imply

$$(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \times u = \lambda n$$

and

$$H = \{ v = \nabla \times \phi; \ \phi \in H^1(\Omega), n \times \phi = 0 \}$$

Hence, we have

$$P(u \cdot \nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u) - (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u) = u \cdot \nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u) - (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u$$

so that

$$(\nabla \times (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega)), P\Phi) =$$

 $(\nabla \times (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega)), u \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u) - (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot \nabla u) + (\nabla \times (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega)), P\tilde{\Phi})$ and

$$\|P\tilde{\Phi}\| \le c\|\tilde{\Phi}\| \le c(\|u\|_{1,\infty} + \|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_{1,\infty})\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\| \le c\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\| \le c\varepsilon^{1-s}$$

These properties help us to complete the rest of estimates.

First, we have

$$|(\nabla \times (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega)), P\tilde{\Phi})| < c||P\tilde{\Phi}|| < c||\tilde{\Phi}|| < c||u^{\varepsilon} - u|| < c\varepsilon^{1-s}$$

Next, note that

$$|(\nabla \times (\times (Bu - n \times \omega)), u \cdot \nabla (u^{\varepsilon} - u)) = |(u^{\varepsilon} - u, u \cdot \nabla (\nabla \times (\times (Bu - n \times \omega))))| < c||u||_{3}^{2}||u^{\varepsilon} - u|| < c\varepsilon^{1-s}$$

since $\nabla u = 0$ in Ω and $u \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial \Omega$.

Note also that

$$|(\nabla \times (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega)), (u^{\varepsilon} - u)\nabla u)| \le c||u||_2^2||u^{\varepsilon} - u|| \le c\varepsilon^{1-s}$$

Hence, we have

$$|(\nabla \times (n \times (Bu - n \times \omega)), P\Phi)| \le c\varepsilon^{1-s}$$
(3.19)

Then, we conclude that

$$BNL = \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} (Bu - n \times \omega) \cdot P\Phi \right| \le c(\|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2 + \varepsilon^{1-s})$$
 (3.20)

In conclusion, it follows from (3.13), (3.14), (3.16) and (3.20) that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E + \frac{\varepsilon}{2}\|P\Delta(u^{\varepsilon} - u)\|^{2} \le c(\|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{2} + \varepsilon^{1-s})$$
(3.21)

Recall that

$$E = \|(\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega)\|^2 - \left(\int_{\partial\Omega} B(u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (u^{\varepsilon} - u) + 2\int_{\partial\Omega} (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (Bu - n \times \omega)\right)$$

and

$$|\int_{\partial\Omega}B(u^{\varepsilon}-u)\cdot(u^{\varepsilon}-u)|\leq c\int_{\partial\Omega}|(u^{\varepsilon}-u)|^2\leq c\|u^{\varepsilon}-u\|\|\omega^{\varepsilon}-\omega\|\leq \|\omega^{\varepsilon}-\omega\|^2+c\|u^{\varepsilon}-u\|^2$$

and

$$\left| \int_{\partial \Omega} (u^{\varepsilon} - u) \cdot (Bu - n \times \omega) \right| \le c \int_{\partial \Omega} |(u^{\varepsilon} - u)| \le \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2 + c\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|^2$$

It follows that

$$\|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{2} + \varepsilon c \int_{0}^{t} \|P\Delta(u^{\varepsilon} - u)\|^{2} \le c \int_{0}^{t} \|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^{2} + c\varepsilon^{1-s}$$
 (3.22)

By using the Gronwall Lemma, one gets that

$$\|\omega^{\varepsilon} - \omega\|^2 \le c\varepsilon^{1-s} \tag{3.23}$$

on $[0, T_0]$ for any given s > 0 and ε for small enough. Then

$$\|u^{\varepsilon} - u\|_1^2 \le c\varepsilon^{1-s} \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$\varepsilon \int_0^t \|P\Delta(u^\varepsilon - u)\|^2 \le c\varepsilon^{1-s} \tag{3.25}$$

Combining this with (2.7) and Theorem 3.1 implies that

$$\varepsilon \int_0^t \|(u^\varepsilon - u)\|_2^2 \le c\varepsilon^{1-s} \tag{3.26}$$

Note that

$$\|\nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u)\|_{p}^{p} \le c\|\nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u)\|_{\infty}^{p-2}\|\nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u)\|^{2}$$

It follows that

$$\|\nabla(u^{\varepsilon} - u)\|_{p}^{p} \le c\varepsilon^{1-s}$$

The theorem is proved.

Remark 3.1. The estimate (1.19) is optimal in the sense that s can not be taken to be 0. Since if s=0, then (1.19) will imply that $u^{\varepsilon}-u$ is uniform bounded in $L^2(0,T;H^2(\Omega))$. Then, there is a subsequence such that $u^{\varepsilon_n}-u$ weakly convergence in $H^2(\Omega)$ for a.e. $t \in [0,T]$. Note that $u^{\varepsilon_n}-u$ strongly convergence to 0 in $H^1(\Omega)$ for all $t \in [0,T]$. It follows that

$$u^{\varepsilon_n} \to u \text{ weakly in } H^2(\Omega), \text{ a.e. } t$$

Note that W is closed in $H^2(\Omega)$, then is weakly closed. Hence, $u \in W$ for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, i.e.,

$$[Bu]_{\tau} - n \times \omega = 0$$
, a.e. t

Since u is smooth, then it holds for all $t \in [0, T]$. This is impossible in general, see [4, 5].

References

- [1] Beirão da Veiga, H. and Berselli L.C., Navier-Stokes Equations: Greens matrices, vorticity direction, and regularity up to the boundary, J. Differential Equations. 246(2), 597-628 (2009).
- [2] H. Beirão da Veiga and Crispo, F. Sharp inviscid limit results under Navier type boundary conditions. An Lp theory, J. math. fluid mech. 12 (2010), 397-411.
- [3] H. Beirão da Veiga and Crispo, F. Concerning the $W^{k,p}$ -inviscid limit for 3D flows under a slip boundary condition, J. math. fluid mech. 13 (2011), 117-135.
- [4] H. Beirão da Veiga and Crispo, F. The 3D inviscid limit result under slip boundary conditions. A negative answer J. Math. Fluid Mech. 14(2012) 55-59.
- [5] H. Beirão da Veiga and Crispo, F. A missed persistence property for the Euler equations and its effect on inviscid limits, Nonlinearity 25 (2012) 1661-1669.
- [6] Beavers, G.S. and Joseph, D.D., Boundary conditions at a naturally permeable wall, J. Fluid Mech. 30(1967), 197-207.
- [7] Bellout, H., Neustupa, J. and Penel, P., On a ν continous family of strong solution to the Euler or Navier-Stokes equations with the Navier type boundary condition, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Sys. Vol. 27:4(2010) 1353-1373.

- [8] Bellout, H.; Neustupa, J. A Navier-Stokes approximation of the 3D Euler equation with the zero flux on the boundary. J. Math. Fluid Mech. 10 (2008), no. 4, 531-553.
- [9] Berselli, Luigi C. Some results on the Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions. Riv. Math. Univ. Parma (N.S.) 1 (2010), no. 1, 1-75.
- [10] Berselli, Luigi C. and Spirito, S. On the Vanishing Viscosity Limit of 3D Navier-Stokes Equations under Slip Boundary Conditions in General Domains, Commun. Math. Phys. (DOI) 10.1007.
- [11] Bourguignon, J. P., Brezis, H. Remarks on the Euler equation, J. Funct. Anal 15(1974) 341-363.
- [12] Clopeau, T., Mikelić, A. and Robert, R., On the valishing viscosity limit for the 2D incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with the friction type boundary conditions, Nonlinearity 11(1998),1625-1636.
- [13] Constantin, P. and Foias, C., Navier Stokes equation, Univ. of Chicago press IL (1988).
- [14] Constantin, P.: Note on loss of regularity for solutions of the 3-D incompressible Euler and related equations. Commun. Math. Phys. 104, 311C326 (1986)
- [15] Constantin, P. On the Euler equations of incompressible uids. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), 44(4):603-621, 2007.
- [16] Ebin, D. G.; Marsden, J. Groups of diffeomorphisms and the notion of an incompressible fluid. Ann. of Math. (2) 92 (1970), 102-163.
- [17] Iftimie, D.; Planas, G. Inviscid limits for the Navier-Stokes equations with Navier friction boundary conditions. Nonlinearity 19 (2006), no. 4, 899-918.
- [18] Iftimie, D.; Sueur, F. Viscous boundary layers for the Navier-Stokes equations with the Navier slip conditions. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. 199 (2011), no. 1, 145-175.
- [19] Kato, T. Nonstationary flows of viscous and ideal fluids in R3. J. Functional Analysis 9 (1972), 296-305.
- [20] Kato, T. Quasi-linear equations of evolution, with applications to partial differential equations. Spectral theory and differential equations (Proc. Sympos., Dundee, 1974; dedicated to Konrad Jörgens), pp. 25-70. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 448, Springer, Berlin, 1975.
- [21] Kato, T. Remarks on zero viscosity limit for non-stationary Navier-Stokes flows with boundary. In: Seminar on PDE (S.S. Chen, eds), Springer, New York, (1984), 85-98.
- [22] Kato, T.; Lai, C. Y. Nonlinear evolution equations and the Euler flow. J. Funct. Anal. 56 (1984), no. 1, 15-28.
- [23] Kelliher, J. On Kato's conditions for vanishing viscosity. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 56 (2007), no. 4, 1711-1721.

- [24] Kelliher, J. Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions for a bounded domain in the plane. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 38 (2006), no. 1, 210-232 (electronic).
- [25] GM Gie and JP Kelliher. Boundary layer analysis of the NavierCStokes equations with generalized Navier boundary conditions, JDE 253:6,1862-1892.
- [26] Lions, J.-L. Quelques Méthodes de Résolution des Problèmes aux Limites non Linéires, Dunod, Paris, 1969.
- [27] Masmoudi, N. Remarks about the inviscid limit of the Navier-Stokes system. Comm. Math. Phys. 270 (2007), no. 3, 777-788.
- [28] Masmoudi, N. and Rousset, F. Uniform Regularity for the Navier-Stokes equation with Navier boundary condition, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 203(2012), 529-575.
- [29] Mazzucato, A. On the zero viscosity limit in incompressible uids. Physica Scripta, pages 0140002, 6, 2008.
- [30] Navier, C.L.M.H., Sur les lois de l'équilibre et du mouvement des corps élastiques, Mem. Acad. R. Sci. Inst. France 6(1827), 369.
- [31] Sammartino, M.; Caflisch, R. E. Zero viscosity limit for analytic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation on a half-space. I. Existence for Euler and Prandtl equations. Comm. Math. Phys. 192 (1998), no. 2, 433-461.
- [32] Sammartino, M.; Caflisch, R. E. Zero viscosity limit for analytic solutions of the Navier-Stokes equation on a half-space. II. Construction of the Navier-Stokes solution. Comm. Math. Phys. 192 (1998), no. 2, 463-491.
- [33] Stokes, G. G. On the theories of the internal friction of fluids in motion, and of the equilibrium and motion of elestic solids, Tran. Combridge Phil. Soc. 8(1845), 287-305.
- [34] Swann, H.S.G.: The convergence with vanishing viscosity of nonstationary Navier-Stokes flow to ideal flow in \mathbb{R}^3 . Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 157, 373-397 (1971).
- [35] Prizjev, N.V. and Troian, S.M. Influence of periodic wall roughness on the slip behaviour at liquid/solid interfaces. J. Fluid Mech. Vol. 554(2006), 25-46.
- [36] Thompson, P.A. and Troian, S.M., 1997 A general boundary condition for liquid flow at solid surface. Nature 389(1997), 360-362.
- [37] Wang, X. A Kato type theorem on zero viscosity limit of Navier-Stokes flows. Dedicated to Professors Ciprian Foias and Roger Temam (Bloomington, IN, 2000). Indiana Univ. Math. J. 50 (2001), Special Issue, 223-241.
- [38] Wang, X.; Wang, Y.; Xin, Z. Boundary layers in incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with Navier boundary conditions for the vanishing viscosity limit. Commun. Math. Sci. 8 (2010), no. 4, 965-998.

- [39] Wang, L., Xin, Z. and Zang, A. Vanishing Viscous Limits for 3D Navier-Stokes Equations with A Navier-Slip Boundary Condition. Arxiv preprint arXiv:1201.1986, 2012 arxiv.org.
- [40] Xiao, Y.L. and Xin, Z.P. On the vanishing viscosity limit for the 3D Navier-Stokes equations with a slip boundary condition. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. Vol. LX (2007) 1027-1055.
- [41] Xiao, Y.L. and Xin, Z.P. Remarks on the vanishing viscosity limit for 3D Navier-Stokes equations with a slip boundary condition, Chinese Ann. Math., 32B(3)(2011), 321-332.
- [42] Xiao, Y. and Xin, Z. On 3D Lagrangian Navier-Stokes α model with a Class of Vorticity Slip Boundary conditions. J. Math. Fluid Mech. to appear.
- [43] Xiao, Y.L. and Xin, Z.P. A New Boundary Condition for the 3D Navier-Stokes Equation and the Vanishing Viscosity Limit, J. Math. Phys. 53, 115617 (2012).
- [44] Xin, Z.; Yanagisawa, T. Zero-viscosity limit of the linearized Navier-Stokes equations for a compressible viscous fluid in the half-plane. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 52 (1999), no. 4, 479-541.
- [45] Yasunori Maekawa, On the inviscid limit problem of the vorticity equations for viscous incompressible flows in the half plane, preprint (2012).